

ARIZONA HISTORICAL ADVISORY COMMISSION

May 5, 2009

MINUTES

The Arizona Historical Advisory Commission (AHAC) met at **11:00 a.m. on May 5th, 2009** at 1700 W. Washington St., in the Director's Conference Room of the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records, Phoenix, AZ 85007. (*Full transcript available on request*).

CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Allvin called the meeting to order at 11:20am.

INTRODUCTIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Members Present

Paul Allvin
Associate Vice President of
Communications
University of Arizona

Catherine May
Sr. Historic Analyst/Archivist
Salt River Project

The Honorable Ken Bennett
Secretary of State

Julie Yoder, Citizen
Public Member

Pam Stevenson on behalf of
Attorney General Terry Goddard

John Langellier, Director
Sharlot Hall Museum

Ann Dutton Ewbank, President
Arizona Library Association

Don Ryden
Ryden Architects

Carol Warren on behalf of
Superintendent Tom Horne
Arizona Department of Education

Scott Cancelosi, Citizen
Public Member

Cari Gerchick on behalf of
Chief Justice Ruth V. McGregor

Jean Calhoun, Director
Land & Water Conservation
The Nature Conservancy

Anne Woosley, Executive Director
Arizona Historical Society

GladysAnn Wells, Director
Arizona State Library
Archives & Public Records

Karen Churchard on behalf of
Sherry Henry
Office of Tourism Director

Beth Grindell, Director
Arizona State Museum

Michele Crank, Citizen
Public Member

Members Absent

Jim Garrison
State Historic Preservation Officer
Arizona State Parks

Robert Booker, Executive Director
Arizona Commission on the Arts

Dora Vasquez, Citizen
Public Member

Jay Ziemann, Asst. Director
Arizona State Parks

The Honorable Steve Tully
Gordon & Rees, LLP

Guests

Lisa Schebly-Heidinger

Ted Hale
Arizona State Library & Archives

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Mr. Allvin asked if there were any corrections to the minutes from the January 15th meeting.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY JOHN LANGELLIER AND SECONDED BY PAM STEVENSON TO APPROVE THE MINUTES OF THE MARCH 26TH 2009 MEETING. MOTION CARRIED.

CHAIRMAN'S REVIEW

Mr. Allvin requested that Ms. May report to the Commission as part of the Chair Report.

Ms. May announced that the sixth annual CCHA Centennial Workshop is scheduled for May 21st located in Coor Hall at Arizona State University. This workshop serves as an opportunity to connect with people from across the state about the Centennial. Over the years the conversation has changed from exploratory to planning; the focus of the workshop this year will center on technology. CCHA has offered an institutional pricing option along with individual registration fees and are able to pay for the workshop from these revenues.

Mr. Allvin continued with the Chair report. He thought that there is a general sense interest and of increasing urgency relating to the Centennial. The Governor's Office shared a letter with the Commission from a constituent asking for an update on action taken for the Centennial. Ms. Churchard and Mr. Allvin spoke to the Arizona Republic the day before the meeting that is planning to run a story on the Centennial around Memorial Day. The story will be a chance to give both Centennial Commissions exposure and explain how the two work together. The Arizona Centennial Commission is planning to meet on June 22nd and Mr. Allvin expected to see movement as that Commission has reorganized after the change in leadership.

Ms. May added that the letter to the Governor's Office came about due to a conversation held at the CCHA breakfast sponsored by the Arizona Historical Convention. There was some frustration discussed about wanting to know more about Centennial preparation, but there was also positive feedback about the Legacy Projects. The group discussed the need for increased exposure of the Commissions and their activities, which is something that AHAC supports.

Mr. Allvin and the Commission welcomed Michele Crank as the newest member to AHAC.

INFORMATION ITEMS

Legislative Updates

House Bill 2222- This legislation has been house engrossed; it creates new license plates, "...and redirects monies from the Gold Star Family special license plate subaccount within the Veteran's Donation fund for the construction and maintenance of the Enduring Freedom Memorial". AHAC is responsible for approving Memorial text.

House Bill 2245- H.B. 2245 would transfer AHAC appointment authority from the Director of the Library and Archives to the Library Board, membership requirements would be increased to between 15 and 25 members, appoints an Executive Director of AHAC who would receive compensation and that, "the compensation and employee related expenses of the executive director shall be paid only from sources, other than this state, including gifts, grants and donations collected by the State Library". A Capitol preservation committee would also be established that would provide guidance to Legislative Council on the preservation, renovation and operation of the State Capitol building.

Ms. Wells said that it is currently unknown whether the proposed legislation would have identical requirements in both legislative houses.

House Bill 2270- Ms. Wells asked the Commission's opinion on whether to support H.B. 2270. The legislation "creates the State Capitol Centennial Restoration Trust Fund with monies deposited from increased transaction privilege tax (TPT) revenues generated from newly established Economic Recapture Districts created by cities or counties".

Ms. Churchard explained that this bill was on behalf of the Department of Tourism that had been proposed last year and had not been passed due to lack of Members when it had been brought up for a vote. Each city or county would determine what size the tax information district would be and within that determine a portion of monies that would be directed toward Capitol restoration.

Mr. Allvin asked if there was a sense of how communities outside of Maricopa County feel about H.B. 2270.

Ms. Grindell asked for clarification on the process.

Ms. Churchard answered that a tax district can be determined by each city, and a portion of those funds go to the State of Arizona for the purpose of Capitol district restoration.

Mr. Bennett asked for confirmation of his assessment of the bill that said each municipality would have to vote to add an additional incremental sales tax to the current sales tax amount.

Ms. Churchard said that was correct.

Mr. Allvin asked if the hope was to raise enough revenue to do something in time for the Centennial.

Ms. Churchard said that was not the intent.

Mr. Bennett asked if the League of Cities and Towns had taken an official position on the bill.

Ms. Churchard replied that she was not entirely sure, but could check with Mr. Aarons.

Ms. Calhoun asked to hear about concerns of the legislation.

Mr. Allvin said that while the permissive language of the bill is a good thing, but there are concerns about TIFFS in areas of the state outside of Maricopa County, particularly in relation to the experience of Rio Nuevo. He thought that municipalities may not have an interest in raising taxes for anything other than vital services; this bill may not match the current emotional and political climate in the state.

Ms. Churchard agreed with Mr. Allvin's concern about timing of the bill but wanted to put it in context for the Commission. The legislation had been drafted two years before and had not passed only because of lack of legislator attendance on the night of the vote. The idea for the bill was developed between cities and the Office of Tourism, and a strength of the concept was that funds would be voluntarily generated for a specific project in the state that everyone was passionate about. If the bill does pass it would be an opportunity to gradually raise revenue for the Capitol Mall.

Ms. Calhoun asked if there was a sunset date for the bill. She also asked if there was a funding cap and if there had been any discussion for using a portion of the fund that could go to a more expansive range of Centennial projects that would encompass the interests of the entire state.

Ms. Churchard answered that there was a sunset date of June 30th, 2016. The fund would only be used for the State Capitol district and so would not be available for regional areas.

Ms. Calhoun asked if there was a possibility to broaden the language so that regional areas could be incorporated.

Ms. Churchard said she could not answer that for sure; the Office of Tourism could ask, but said that the intent of the bill was to provide for Capitol restoration.

Ms. Gerchick asked if the Legislature would have the authority to sweep potential revenues from this bill as other funds have been swept.

Mr. Allvin replied that the funds could be swept by the Legislature.

Ms. Gerchick said that currently there are seven lawsuits pending at the Arizona Supreme Court, so if the Commission decides to vote on a budget issue, she would respectfully abstain.

Ms. Grindell was concerned that 2016 was too short of a time horizon; the city of Tucson has already taken an extension and profits are generated by bonding against future revenues from tax income. She said that she honored the intent of the legislation and would vote for it, but was concerned if the legislation best accomplishes the goal.

Mr. Bennett pondered why the TIF structure was implemented for this particular project; this is because usually TIF districts are used to fund projects or buildings that would increase revenue for residents in that area. This project is to raise revenue from multiple cities and municipalities for a project in one localized area that would not give a return to the contributing locales.

Mr. Langellier agreed there may be increased reluctance for cities outside of the Phoenix metropolitan area to participate if there will be no return on the funds generated.

Mr. Allvin noted that looking at the legislation as a Commission from what AHAC does outside of Centennial preparations, there may be a question as to whether this falls outside the scope of the Commission and its requirements.

Ms. May said that the bill would have been more logical for the Commission to consider a year ago, but was not convinced that the bill did not fall under the purview of AHAC outside of the Centennial preparations. She was not sure if the Commission supported the bill before.

Mr. Allvin did not remember supporting the bill last year.

Ms. May continued that perhaps the Commission would do well to honor the intent which revolves around historic preservation, but acknowledge that the timing of the legislation is problematic. Groups like the CCHA tend to be uncomfortable with plans like this, even if they are from the county or city that would be receiving the revenue for restoration.

Mr. Allvin concluded that there appears to be a lack of support for this concept and was not confident that having the Commission weighing in would have an impact. Therefore, the Commission would take no action on H.B. 2270 at this time.

NEW BUSINESS ACTION ITEMS

Legacy Project Recommendations: Route 66 El Pueblo Centennial Center, Teaching AZ with a Geographical Perspective, The Benjamin Sacks Collection: Territorial Arizona for the 21st Century, Arizona Glass Plate Negatives.

Ms. Yoder presented the recommendations of the Programs Subcommittee and requested approval for the Benjamin Sacks and Arizona Glass Plate Negatives legacy projects. Ms. Yoder asked the Commission to request more information from the Route 66 and Teaching AZ with a Geographical perspective so that the Subcommittee could make a decision.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY JULIANA YODER AND SECONDED BY KAREN CHURCHARD TO APPROVE THE LEGACY PROJECT APPLICATIONS THE BENJAMIN SACKS COLLECTION: TERRITORIAL ARIZONA FOR THE 21ST CENTURY AND ARIZONA GLASS PLATE NEGATIVES AND TO REQUEST ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FROM THE ROUTE 66 EL PUEBLO GRANDE CENTENNIAL CENTER AND TEACHING ARIZONA WITH A GEOGRAPHICAL PERSPECTIVE.

MOTION CARRIED

Ms. Warren asked if Commission members could ask an organization to submit a Legacy Project application for something already created.

Ms. Yoder answered that there is nothing prohibiting existing projects from being submitted as Legacy Project applications for consideration.

Ms. Yoder distributed a list of Legacy Projects approved to date. Currently there are forty two.

Partnership for the American Historic Record

Ms. Wells explained to the Commission that she and Secretary Bennett had cosigned a letter to the Arizona Congressional Delegation asking them to support this Federal archives legislation; it is a similar model to the Library LSTA. Ms. Wells will deliver the letter to the Arizona Delegation at the American Library Association Legislative Day visits that occur in the following week. The legislation would come as monies to the State Archives from the Federal government to help preserve local and state records. The parameters will be discussed by the Secretary of State and the State Archives. The reason that members do not have a copy of the legislation is that a legislative aide made an error and an incorrect version of the bill was introduced on the House floor. There will be a corrected version introduced for consideration. Ms. Wells said she would like to keep AHAC updated on the progress of this federal legislation.

Mr. Bennett added that the legislation would award \$50million per year in grants to the states. The funds are equally divided across states; 82% based on population and 8% based on geographic size. States would have to apply for the grant monies and there would need to be agreement between the state Archives and the Secretary of State. Any state that receives funding would report by January 31st on a yearly basis. Any misuse in funds would be noted in a report submitted to the Governmental Affairs Committee of Homeland Security and Governmental Reform in the House of Representatives.

Ms. Wells continued that the details noted by Secretary Bennett are incorporated into the correct version of the legislation and are important to the overall success of the concept. The Commission will receive copies of the legislation for review as soon as a reliable version is accessible.

Treasurer's Report

Ms. Wells presented the Treasurer's Report to members. The report includes the Commission's expenses, investments, and operating costs to date. Statutory members contribute \$999 annually to the operating fund of the Commission. Ms. Wells explained to members that the most significant update to the Treasurer's Report is that the Commission has repaid the City of Goodyear with interest, and so will no longer have to be monitored.

2009 Work Plan

Mr. Allvin explained that for his portion of the 2009 Work Plan, communication and branding, he decided to incorporate a person and introduced Ms. Schebly-Heidinger to the Commission. She is a communications professional, a long family history in the state, and has an interest in volunteering on the Centennial. Ms. Schnebly-Heidinger is also working with the Arizona Centennial Commission on public outreach as well, which would be an opportunity for linkage between the two Commissions.

Ms. Schnebly-Heidinger said she is excited to assist in Centennial preparations; she thought linking with the AZCC would be helpful in regards to outreach so that there are not duplications of things like master lists as both Commissions continue to progress. She has had experience in many media forms and would be pleased to write releases in whatever format would be needed.

Mr. Allvin said that the Commission may be interested in having Ms. Schnebly-Heidinger pull together a plan rather than simply executing small tasks, if she was willing.

Ms. Schnebly-Hedinger said she would be pleased to do so, but would want to make sure that she learns more about the Commission so there is no duplication of work already done by AHAC.

Mr. Allvin expressed gratitude for Ms. Schnebly-Heidinger's enthusiasm and expertise and suggested the possibility of formally incorporating her into the Commission in the future. He said that outreach and communication will continue to become increasingly important in the coming years and that both the AHAC and the AZCC will benefit from her involvement.

Mr. Allvin continued that there has not been progress with the Executive Committee on grant making at this time.

Ms. Wells had a brief update for the Commission on a discussion held at the March 26th meeting about AHAC funds; currently there have been new members added to the Prescott Historical Society Foundation and with those changes, it did not seem to be the appropriate time to pursue having Commission funds held there.

Ms. Wells submitted suggestions to the Commission on how the Commission may utilize the Arizona quarters and folios and how the money raised for Legacy Projects might be determined. There are currently around 10,000 quarters and folios remaining, and the proposal was to utilize a portion of those assets to assist Centennial work. The remaining quarters could be retained for use when the Centennial medallions are released. Interested Legacy Projects could be given funds and a certain number of quarters to assist in fundraising for their respective project; smaller projects would be given \$750 and 100 quarters and folios, city wide projects would receive \$1,000 and 150 quarters and folios, regional projects would receive \$1,500 and 200 quarters and folios, and statewide projects would receive \$2,000 and 300 quarters and folios.

Ms. Wells continued that the Commission may be able to do a direct transfer of coins to interested project personnel and be given a receipt to keep record of how the quarters were distributed. This would require a letter of acceptance from each participating Legacy Project and an explanation of how quarters and funds are to be used prior to distribution.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY GLADYSANN WELLS AND SECONDED BY KAREN CHURCHARD TO EMPOWER THE CHAIR IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SECRETARY TO PURSUE THE DISTRIBUTION OF THE ARIZONA QUARTERS, NOT TO EXCEED 8,000 QUARTERS AND NOT TO EXCEED MORE THAN \$60,000 IN MONEY TO THE LEGACY PROJECTS VIA A FORMAL LETTER, A CONFIRMATION FROM THE PROJECTS AND A FINAL REPORT ON HOW THE QUARTERS AND MONEY ARE INVESTED TO PROMOTE THEIR LEGACY PROJECT CONCEPT.

Ms. Calhoun asked how much money the folios would be sold for by the participating projects, and if there would be guidelines for expenditure of the funds.

Ms. Wells answered that the price of the folios would be determined by the projects. Some projects could have silent auctions or raffles, or the quarter folios could be used as prizes at a fundraising event or as a thank you to sponsors or donors. It was her hope that the folios would allow Legacy Projects more flexibility in their fundraising. The funds

and folios would be offered to the project with the expectation of a written statement from the project explaining how funds were to be used prior to distribution.

Ms. Yoder asked about Ms. Wells' vision of how the cash part of the project award could be used.

Ms. Wells said that the funds could potentially be used to support a fundraiser or to assist with costs of the project.

Ms. Yoder said that some project managers may be interested in receiving funds but not the quarter folios, and asked if the two would be only jointly offered.

Ms. Wells thought that the funds and the quarter folios would need to be jointly offered.

Mr. Allvin continued that the proposal would allow for funds and quarter folios to be given to participating Legacy Projects for fundraising purposes or direct costs of the project, and that the process would be documented in writing.

Ms. Wells agreed that proper documentation would be essential in the process.

Mr. Bennett added that he believed as long as groups reported on how the funds and quarters were used, that documentation would be sufficient for the state accounting system.

Mr. Allvin asked if all Legacy Projects would receive the funds and quarter folios, or only those that request funds.

Ms. Wells thought that either the Chair or Secretary would send notices to each approved Legacy Project, explaining the opportunity and requirements that accompany acceptance of the award, particularly in the requirement to report back to the Commission within a set period of time documenting how the funds have been used.

MOTION CARRIED

Ms. Wells discussed the second proposal presented to members; over time the Commission has discussed empowering member agencies with grant making capability to distribute funds for a variety of Centennial related functions; to assist existing Legacy Projects, to support the creation of new Legacy Projects, or support other member agency's Centennial work. A chart was distributed to members illustrating how approved Legacy Projects fall under different grant making agency purviews for the Commission's review. As with the discussion of Legacy Projects receiving funds, a notice would be sent to the appropriate agencies discussing the potential funds available, receive and approve notices from interested agencies on how the funds are to be used, and written documentation after a certain amount of time accounting for expenditures. The appropriate member agencies with grant making capability are the Arizona Historical Society, The State Historic Preservation Office, the Arizona Commission on the Arts, the Arizona State Library and Archives, the Arizona Humanities Council, the Nature

Conservancy and the Arizona Office of Tourism. Ms. Wells thought each institution could be offered \$3,000 for those purposes noted above, as well as \$1,000 to the CCHA as an ongoing annual commitment.

Ms. May thanked Ms. Wells for thinking of the CCHA, but said that at this time the CCHA is financially stable and would not require additional funding from the Commission.

A MOTION WAS MADE BY GLADYSANN WELLS AND SECONDED BY JOHN LANGELLIER THAT A LETTER OFFERING AN AHAC INVESTMENT OF UP TO \$3,000 PER AHAC MEMBER INSTITUTION WITH AN ESTABLISHED GRANT PROGRAM FOR THE FOLLOWING PURPOSES: ASSIST LEGACY PROJECTS "ASSIGNED" TO THEM; SEED NEW CENTENNIAL OR LEGACY PROJECTS IN THEIR AREA OF EXPERTISE; OR CREATE NEW CENTENNIAL AWARENESS PROJECTS TO BRING NEW COMMUNITIES AND CITIZENS AS WELL AS INSTITUTIONS TO THE CENTENNIAL WORK. IF OTHER ACTIVITIES OR PURPOSES WOULD BETTER SUIT THEIR CONSTITUENCY, MEMBER INSTITUTIONS SHOULD NOTIFY AHAC IN WRITING. PARTICIPATING MEMBER INSTITUTIONS ARE REQUIRED TO REPORT BACK TO AHAC ON A BIENNIAL BASIS ON IMPACT AND PROCESS OF FUNDS EXPENDITURE. FUNDS MAY NOT BE USED TO OFFSET BUDGET CUTS OR FOR NORMAL AGENCY FUNCTIONS.

MEMBER INSTITUTIONS:

**ARIZONA HISTORICAL SOCIETY
ARIZONA HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICE
ARIZONA COMMISSION ON THE ARTS
ARIZONA STATE LIBRARY, ARCHIVES AND PUBLIC RECORDS
ARIZONA HUMANITIES COUNCIL
ARIZONA NATURE CONSERVANCY
ARIZONA OFFICE OF TOURISM**

Mr. Allvin asked what the next logical step would be in this process.

Ms. Wells answered that each agency mentioned would be contacted in writing and asked to respond. A report would be given at the next AHAC meeting on its progress.

Ms. Yoder asked if the funds given would be available for only the three purposes noted or specifically designated for the designated Legacy Projects.

Ms. Churchard replied that funding Legacy Projects are a priority, but that there are agencies that may want to assist other projects or programs within the agency that a designation of Legacy Project funding may restrict. Language including a suggestion for proposals from the agency if there are other potential uses for the funds may be helpful.

Ms. May asked about expanding the list to include entities such as the State Museum or Arizona State Parks.

Ms. Wells continued that her initial thought had been to keep the list simple but it could be revised if the Commission wished. The list above includes only those that have grant making responsibility that have Legacy Projects under those areas that could be assisted. She continued that some agencies may not feel they are in a position to participate and that this process would allow them to elect whether to participate or not.

Ms. Calhoun said she would check with the Nature Conservancy if they have grant making capability of this nature, and if not would make recommendations to cover natural and cultural resource aspects.

MOTION CARRIED

Mr. Cancelosi had two suggestions presented to members for review. The first was a potential redesign of the AHAC website; a main task would be to change the main page to make it more user friendly and to reduce the amount of text that appears on the screen. He offered his assistance for any redesign of the Commission website. The second was a discussion of conditions of Legacy Project awarded status to increase accountability. He thought that approved projects could submit brief reports that update the Commission on their progress and the reports could be scanned to a pdf and posted on the events and projects section of the website. This is one idea of how to increase accountability and Mr. Cancelosi welcomed any other suggestions concerning Legacy Project reporting.

Ms. Yoder noted that one issue with having a reporting structure like this is the intensive paperwork and follow through that is required; currently there are forty two projects approved and the list will continue to grow over time.

Ms. Ewbank said that a report could be extensive or a brief progress update and it would be beneficial to the Commission to have an update from the Legacy Projects as an update and information that could be used as in communication and outreach.

Mr. Allvin agreed with Ms. Ewbank that having updates rather than reports would be beneficial with an emphasis on progress. This will encourage a sense of community development around the state for the Centennial. As updates come in, there can be highlights posted on the website for the Commission and the public to read on. He asked if the requirements described by Mr. Cancelosi would still be met if phrased as updates and progress rather than reports.

Mr. Cancelosi affirmed that the new phrasing would still accomplish the objectives discussed.

Ms. Ewbank noted that web technologies could be incorporated through the updates; she suggested perhaps a blog could be established so people interested in the Centennial could see what was going on around the state.

Ms. Grindell agreed that having an update structure would be beneficial; she noted that there is not a strong reporting structure, and AHAC is the one entity that people would

expect to know about what has been accomplished by the Legacy Projects. She added that some project may have been approved but never was able to materialize and was concerned that if a reporter was contacting Legacy Projects and found some to be inactive that it would reflect poorly on the oversight of AHAC. It is important to maintain the integrity of the Commission.

Ms. Yoder said that approved Legacy Project Updates were not a part of the original structure of AHAC and so if the Commission wished to take action in this manner documentation would be necessary.

Mr. Allvin thought that having the structure of communication would be beneficial as a tool of self regulating to address Ms. Grindell's concern, due to the fact that inactive projects will have nothing to update.

Ms. Churchard added that inactive projects could be removed from the approved Legacy Project list to maintain accuracy.

Mr. Langellier asked if this discussion means that the Commission will write to all approved Legacy Projects and request an update, which will serve a notice that periodic updates will be expected from this point forward.

Ms. Stevenson agreed that having a status update would be particularly relevant in light of the discussion on awarding interested Legacy Projects with quarter folios and funds.

Ms. May thought that inactive projects may be given an inactive status rather than remove them from the list due to the fact that sometimes circumstances inhibit project success, but not the intent and desire to participate. To remove inactive projects would be to remove them from the record, which would be a disservice. Ms. May volunteered to contact the forty two approved Legacy Projects to find out their status.

Ms. Gerchick and Ms. Schnebly-Hedinger offered to assist Ms. May.

CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Mr. Hale of the Arizona State Library and Archives thanked the Commission for allowing him to hear about new developments occurring in Centennial preparations.

FUTURE MEETING DATE

Thursday, May 28th, 2009

FUTURE MEETING AGENDA ITEMS/COMMISSION COMMENTS

Ms. Churchard told the Commission that the next meeting date of the Arizona Centennial Commission is scheduled for June 15th. Ms. Schnebly-Hedinger is the Chairman of Community Outreach for the AZCC, and Ms. Churchard said the Commission looks forward to working with her. The co-chairs of the Commission are Governor Brewer and Chief Justice Jones. Letters are being drafted that will be sent out to communities. The Commission has approved a logo that will be released at a press conference and a website is being developed as well.

Ms. Wells submitted a development report for Members to review.

Ms. Gerchick updated members about the Centennial Committee being developed by the Arizona Supreme Court; the Arizona Courts Centennial Commission is run by the Chief Justice and is comprised of Arizona courts who will research court, legal, lawyer and judicial history for the state. Each county superior court is involved in this effort through the central commission, so that each county's history will be reflected in the work that is done. The judicial Commission will have a website that can be linked to the Centennial website if desired.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 1:20pm.

Meeting Handouts

Meeting Minutes March 26, 2009

Arizona Historical Advisory Commission Treasurer's Report

Legacy Project Award Guidelines & Conditions of Award

AZ Centennial Website Proposed Structure

CCHA Conference Announcement

Development Proposal

Legacy Project Categories- Initial Assessment

Proposals for Quarter Use and Grants

Programs Committee Legacy Project Recommendations to AHAC for Approval

Approved Legacy Projects

Programs Committee Membership

Prepared by Tanya Musgrave